

## REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING

Wednesday

November 7, 2001

7:00 PM

Florence K. Little Town Hall

12 Colomba Road

DeBary, Florida 32713

### MINUTES

#### I. Call to Order

1. Invocation
2. Flag Salute

#### II. Roll Call

**Members Present:** Mayor Carmen Rosamonda, Vice Mayor Greg France Council Member George Coleman, Council Member Danny Allen, and Council Member Bill Long.

**Others Present:** City Manager Richard Diamond and City Attorney Kurt Ardaman

#### III. Public Participation For Any Issues Not On the Agenda

Fred Lutz, 126 Sher Lane, wished to reiterate his concern with Fort Florida Road and the lack of surveying be done by the developer, other than at the entrance to the new subdivision.

David Rasmussen, 107 Forest Point Lane, Longwood, DeBary Development Corporation reviewed Land Development Code variances granted for Phase I of Springview which increased lot coverage areas. He requested procedural information on how to modify Section 828.00 of the Land Development Code or how to create a new ordinance to apply to that particular section which would increase lot coverage percentages. He listed surrounding jurisdictions that allow greater house to lot coverage percentages. Mr. Rasmussen was asked to provide additional information. It was suggested that zoning staff could draft a copy of revisions to the ordinance. Mr. Rasmussen noted that enlarging lots to accommodate larger homes, due to the current smaller lot coverage percentages, added approximately \$4,000.00 to the cost of a new home purchase, which might curtail purchases. Mr. Rasmussen agreed to appear at the next Council meeting.

David Rose, 130 Cedar Springs Circle, stated that he had written letters on April 26<sup>th</sup>, 2001 with questions regarding the proposed Springview Streetlight district and concerns regarding liability for sidewalks and streets in the subdivision. He stated that several sidewalk and street areas were in disrepair and requested clarification of responsibility for upkeep. He stated he had received no response from the Mayor or City Manager Diamond. He requested written response to his concerns. Discussion was held regarding maintenance periods and it was agreed that the matter be investigated. Council Members had not received copies of the correspondence. Mr. Diamond recalled meeting with Mr. Rose and exchanging e-mails, but did not recall the letters. He will look into the matter. An apology was extended to Mr. Rose.

Mayor Rosamonda made a public announcement of Hazardous Waste Drop-off Day on Saturday, November 17, 2001 from 9 AM to 4 PM at Florence K. Little Town Hall.

#### **IV. Approval of Minutes**

1. Regular City Council Meeting held October 3, 2001.

Motion to accept was made by Council Member Long and second by Vice Mayor France. The motion was carried 5-0.

#### **V. Strategic Planning Reports**

#### **VI. Presentations**

1. Mayor John Masiarczyk, City of Deltona – Voting Membership in Volusian Water Alliance.

Mayor Masiarczyk, City of Deltona reviewed letters he has written to the sixteen cities having Inter-local Agreements with and membership in the Volusian Water Alliance. He stated that six cities, not owning or operating public utilities, are not voting members. That the Inter-local Agreements bind all member cities to amend their Comprehensive Plans, when changes are approved by the Volusian Water Alliance. Mayor Masiarczyk's previous request for an amendment to the Agreements was granted to Deltona only. Deltona has refused that amendment. Mayor Masiarczyk stated Deltona was reviewing their right to opt out of the Alliance, if voting rights were not granted to all cities. He asked the Council to send a strongly worded letter to the County Council Chairman and a copy to the Executive Director of the Volusian Water Alliance, in support of equal voting rights. It was the consensus of the Council that City Manager Diamond draft a letter to the Alliance, including a mention of Council Member George Coleman being DeBary's representative. Mr. Diamond would also review the Agreement.

2. Steve Kintner, Director Volusia County Environmental Services – Manatee Protection Plan.

Mr. Steve Kintner reviewed the Executive Order adopted by the Governor of Florida in the late 1980's, requiring Manatee Protection Plans. Approval of the construction of marine facilities is subject to compliance with the Executive Order. Currently the County has plans under consideration that involves linking the number of boat related manatee mortalities to applications for marine improvements. Mr. Kintner is writing a plan to allow flexibility and enable communities to improve manatee population counts. Options of the plan include creating education and enforcement programs or requiring contributes to a mitigation fund to pay for more rigorous enforcement of boating speed limits. He reviewed a statistical zoning map of manatee mortalities in the area. He indicated individual cities could opt out of the County plan and create their own plan. He acknowledged the County Council has regard for the rights of individual cities, but has liability concerns, if a city chooses not to implement the County plan.

Mr. Kintner also reviewed circumstances in which no marine facilities would be allowed. He clarified that planning is specific to motorized boating. Mr. Kintner proposes that the State would be responsible for enforcement of the plan. He invited comments and input from the City Council. He affirmed that single-family residences installing docks for personal use were exempt, but the County proposes a \$250.00 contribution to a trust fund to forestall State intervention. Mr. Kintner emphasized that the County Council has not yet approved the plan and that his presentation was to invite participation. Mayor Rosamonda invited comments from the Council on creation of a separate model or utilizing the County plan. Mr. Kintner stated the plan model would keep communities from being penalized for population growth that would naturally increase manatee mortality statistics. He also reviewed administration of the \$250.00 fee, the Sheriff's Department is the only agency able to perform the boating speed enforcement function, but the plan would allow cities to apply for enforcement grants from the fund. The City Council will be provided with copies of the final documents outlining the plan, to determine if the City chooses to stay with the County model.

### 3. HDR Engineering Inc. – US 17/92 Corridor Streetscape Master Plan Proposal and Town Center Conceptual Site Plan.

A review was given of the Charrettes and assistance from the Streetscape Committee headed by Council Member Long. A three-day design workshop, in August, included public participation by the members of the Streetscape Committee and the members of the City Council. The concept presented was chosen through that input, to promote a sense of entry, procession and arrival. The concept includes the integration of entry landscapes at the north and south ends of 17-92 that includes signature medjool date palms. A Traditional theme was chosen by consensus at the Charrettes.

Representative treatments were presented consisting of three levels, by cost, for the 17-92 Corridor. The lowest level included roadside plantings between Plantation and Highbanks, private property might be utilized by agreement between owners and the City due to the lack of right-of-way. The second level added new median locations for planting and architectural treatments. It was noted that in newly constructed areas, medians were being added or were in place, but plantings were only being done at the Gateway locations with medjool palm material. The highest level of treatment includes the addition of some on-street parking. It was noted that this could promote revitalization and slow traffic. HDR had recommendations of methods to attain assistance from State agencies. It was asked whether the costs of any treatments were compatible with a four-year plan with a timed integration. The estimated highest-level cost was given as \$3.7 million dollars, to include retrofitting of roadways. HDR showed sub-areas that could be enhanced in the short term for lower costs. It was stressed by HDR, that Redevelopment and Comprehensive Plans should be coordinated with Streetscape planning. It was suggested that State agencies would be looking at the overall level of commitment by the City and quality of life issues could be a significant factor in gaining support.

Mayor Rosamonda reminded attendees that these are conceptual plans, for long-term development, with much additional planning to be completed. He also discussed additional plantings to commence on the southern end of 17/92 with funds allocated by FDOT. Clarification was requested on the figure quoted as \$3.7 million and figures in the report at \$4.1

million. It was also discussed that Historical and Redevelopment Grants would be available to defray future costs and Redevelopment areas needed to be defined to be presented to the County for the possible creation of a Community Redevelopment District. Additional discussion reviewed the feasibility of obtaining approval by FDOT to add on street parking. HDR reiterated that the \$4.1 million was for the highest level of intervention and the engineer for HDR used Access Management Guidelines currently in use by FDOT, for median planning. It was also discussed that it has become prevalent in Florida communities to re-plan traffic patterns and FDOT has approved such changes.

The Town Center concept was presented. The Charrette held in October included the public, the Streetscape Committee, the EDAC, the property owner, and the City Council. A review of the conceptual map was given for attendees. Plans include retail centers, apartment buildings, town-home, mixed-use, retention areas and civic buildings. Extra parking areas could also be used for additional retention or single-family residences, if needed. Stormwater requirements are also met by the concept. It was discussed whether City Hall was appropriately located. It was shown that the concept was to draw traffic into the area for the added benefit of the retail and office area. Concept plans included the option of adding public roads or leasing the site with developer maintained roads and access drives for the civic areas. It was discussed that determination as to private or public roads and public civic areas would have to be made at the time of the site plan submittal, after agreements are arrived at with the property owner. HDR was complimented on combining the range of ideas presented at the Charrettes into a comprehensive concept plan.

## **VII. Public Hearings**

***Members of the Council should disclose for the record the substance of any ex-parte communication that has occurred before or during the public hearings for the following items.***

1. First Reading – Ordinance No. 10-01 – Rezoning 67.86 Acres Located on the Southeast Corner of Dirksen Drive and South US Highway 17-92 From A-2 (Rural Agriculture) and C (Conservation) to MPUD (Mixed Use Planned Unit Development).

City Attorney Kurt Ardaman read Ordinance No. 10-01 by title. Volusia County Planner Scott Ashley reviewed the zoning of the property and, having met with the applicant and the City Manager, he recommended approval at first reading. Zoning Staff will prepare changes to the development agreement regarding setbacks, parking and signage for the second reading. It was asked if the Comprehensive Plan had called for mixed use. Mr. Ashley replied the original plans called for commercial applications and the new concept called for mixed use, therefore re-zoning was required. It was asked whether the development agreement would allow for flexible use of building areas and if maximums in given residential categories would be summarized. It was discussed that the concept was planned with a framework for the proposed commercial uses and residential percentages to be phased in to support commercial areas. There was discussion of adding provision to the developer's agreement that phases would be completed with equal attention to commercial and residential areas. It was asked whether the purchase of County

property for a future Town Hall would be more cost effective. The advantages of having the facility in the Town Center, as an incentive to activity, were discussed. It was noted that the plan is conceptual and more changes can be made regarding building use.

Mr. Steve Costa discussed the development agreement to be drafted in the next 30 days, if the Ordinance was approved. It was asked whether maximums for single-family homes and apartment areas had been determined and if 50% division was possible. Mr. Costa suggested that regulation of building use needed to be determined by market factors. It was his experience that a minimum of 200 rental units was standard and that these numbers should allow equity between rental and single-family ownership. He was asked if phases for retail, office and residential areas would receive equal attention. Mr. Costa suggested that a commitment by the City to civic facilities would help create demand for those areas to be developed. A motion was made to approve Ordinance No. 10-01 by Council Member Long and seconded by Vice Mayor France. There was additional discussion regarding future school sites and revenue available due to the new ½ cent sales tax. The motion carried 4-1 with Council Member Allen dissenting.

2. Request for Special Exception For a Mixed Use Development Including Retail/Commercial Use and Multi-Family Residential Use on the Same Parcel of Land within the South US Highway 17/92 Overlay Zoning Classification for 67.86 Acres Located on the Southeast Corner of Dirksen Drive and South US Highway 17-92.

A motion to accept the Ordinance was made by Council Member Long and seconded by Vice Mayor France. The motion carried 3-2 with Council Members Allen and Coleman dissenting.

3. Resolution No. 01-16 – Minor Amendment to Riverside at DeBary PUD Establishing an Alternate Definition of Lot Width Measurements for Lots on a Cul-de-sac.

Aaron Gorovitz, Attorney reviewed the chronological approval steps of the original PUD document with a site map of the 11 lots in question. The developer has commitment to fund off-site utility and road improvement costs in excess of \$1 million. He pointed out that if the amendment were denied, re-engineering the lots to conform would require re-engineering the development and would be a financial hardship for the developer. He included a presentation of 31 non-conforming lots in DeBary subdivisions that had received amendments. He also stated the non-conforming lots in Phase I would allow sufficient setbacks. He requested the Amendment be granted for the 8 lots in Phase I, already engineered and agreed that the three lots in Phase II would conform prior to submission for approval.

Volusia County Planner Scott Ashley provided information on setback requirements and noted that, prior to 1998, there had been a different interpretation of setback areas, which may have allowed some previous amendments. He also noted that when a PUD plat is created, it is treated as a concept plan and Staff cannot always review the detailed configuration of individual lots. Comments made by Mr. Ashley regarding discrepancies at the preliminary Plat review, would have been applicable to the entire approval process. Mr. Ashley noted that some of approvals for

prior amendments were related to different zoning classifications. It was discussed that since the lots would still accommodate the appropriate setbacks and because of the inconsistencies in past setback interpretations, it might be appropriate to grant the Amendment. Discussion was held that the regulations needed to be clarified for future consistency. City Attorney Ardaman reviewed the legal position of the Council if the Amendment were to be denied. It was requested that the Zoning staff return at the next council meeting to present clearer interpretation of the language in the Code. Council Member Allen moved to approve the Amendment and Vice Mayor France seconded the motion. The motion carried 3-2 with Council Members Coleman and Long dissenting.

4. Request for Variance – Case D-01-009 – Frank M. Miller, Agent for Owners Michael and Deborah DeVine to a Side Yard Setback (7.2 Feet in Lieu of the Required 8 Feet).

Mr. John McIntosh, 113 Amberglow Court, stated that he had notified County officials and the builder, on August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2001, of a setback discrepancy and that no action was taken to stop the building process and correct the discrepancy. He objected to the fact that the houses were now situated too close together and the proposal by the County to install a privacy fence was not appropriate. He stated that the rules should be enforced, that the variance should be denied and that the appropriate party should be required to site the house to correct specifications.

Mr. Walt Corwin, 115 Amberglow Court, stated that he objected to the positioning of the house on the lot and that the property devalued his property. He requested that the Variance be denied.

Frank Miller, Builder, 2995 Miller Ave., Orange City, requested approval of the Variance. He stated that he had applied for and received permits from the County, which provided incorrect zoning information. He cited 27 certified Letters of Notice of the Variance request had been mailed and that there were no other negative responses from the remaining residents. He reviewed existing setbacks allowing a total of 16 feet between houses on the affected side, with a 41' setback from the front property line. He questioned who should be responsible for installing a fence. He assured the Council that the septic and drainage systems were in compliance. Mr. Miller was asked how the setbacks were determined and he noted that there are differences between the plat line and the survey pins. The purpose of the fence was not determined.

Melony D. Coppi, 179 Branham Lane, spoke regarding the detrimental effect of this dispute on the health of the homeowner. It was her opinion that objections to the Variance were personal in nature. She requested that the Variance be granted.

Michael DeVine, 117 Amberglow Court, requested that the Variance be granted.

Opinion was asked of City Manager Diamond, who expressed his agreement with Staff analysis that the Variance request met the criteria. Building and Zoning Division Director Mary Robinson was asked to clarify policy regarding placing stop-work orders. She replied that she was informed that only interior work remained at the time of the notification and that it was her

understanding that this information had been confirmed. Therefore, she did not issue a stop order.

Council Member Long moved to approve Variance D-01-009. Vice Mayor France seconded the motion. Additional discussion revealed that the homeowner most affected was not at issue with the variance and it was also determined that there were not excessive windows in the affected side of the house. The motion passed unanimously.

5. Second and Final Reading – Ordinance No. 28-01 – Amending Residential Density Standards.

City Attorney Kurt Ardaman read Ordinance No. 28-01 by Title. Council Member Coleman moved to adopt Ordinance No. 28-01. Vice Mayor France seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

6. Second and Final Reading – Ordinance No. 29-01 – Amending Sidewalk Requirements for New Developments.

City Attorney Kurt Ardaman read Ordinance No. 29-01 by title. Council Member Long moved to adopt Ordinance No. 29-01. Council Member Coleman seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

7. First Reading – Ordinance No. 27-01 – Vacating a Portion of Spring Glen Unit 2, Plat Book 47, Page 103.

City Attorney Kurt Ardaman read Ordinance No. 27-01 by title. Mr. Russ Divine, 24 S. Orange Avenue, Orlando representing Pinnacle-Glen Abbey, Inc., asked for approval of Ordinance No. 27-01 in order to expedite a legal settlement with the party disputing the ownership of the property to be vacated. He stated the property was partially underwater, not buildable, and was to be open area within the subdivision. It was suggested to the Council Members that the City of DeBary might also be named in litigation, that pending litigation was a hardship to the owners in Spring Glen and time was of the essence in the matter. Mr. Divine was asked whether the required 15% tree preservation requirements for Spring Glen would be met if that portion were vacated.

Joe Waller, Volusia County Forester, stated that tree preservation would be reduced to approximately 12.5%. He stated that he was not holding the developer at fault that all lots were already cleared and developed and the developer did not create the dispute. The responsibility of the Title Company issuing the Title of Insurance Policy was questioned. Mr. Waller was asked how policy for replacement and mitigation was determined when preservation was not being met. Mr. Divine, being asked, stated that an attempt was made to determine a purchase price from the disputing party and the party would not offer a figure.

Mr. William Segal, 955 Stonewood Lane, Maitland of Pinnacle-Glen Abbey, Inc. stated that a Title Insurance Policy was issued and the developer proceeded in good faith. It was discussed

whether vacant land in the development could be returned to natural state or if mitigation was appropriate. Various issues were discussed including determining applicable fines, feasibility of offsite property being dedicated as mitigation, obtaining tree counts and how to arrive at a land value. Mr. Waller stated that the treed area consisted of 2.74 of the 3.5 acres of land and there was no mitigation fund applicable to this situation. There was additional discussion that vacating the parcel at this hearing would not allow recourse for the City to enforce Tree Preservation compliance. Motion was made by Council Member Long to table Ordinance 27-01 until December 5<sup>th</sup>. Council Member Allen seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

8. Second and Final Reading Ordinance No.26-01 – Creating a Special Service District for Buena Vista Street Streetlighting.

City Attorney Kurt Ardaman read Ordinance No.26-01 by title. Council Member Long made a motion to approve Ordinance No.26-01. Council Member Coleman seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

### **VIII. Growth Management and Development Review**

1. DeBary Plantation – Unit 21 – Subdivision Overall Development Plan.

Mr. Palmer Panton, Land Development Manager for Volusia County reviewed the overall development plan. Mayor Rosamonda entertained a motion to adopt the ODP for DeBary Plantation Unit 21, Case number 01-SODP-0193 with appropriate stipulations. It was discussed that community park areas did exist. Motion to adopt was made by Council Member Long. Council Member Allen seconded. Assurance was given that drainage and retention issues with adjoining properties had been addressed. The motion passed unanimously.

2. DeBary Plantation – Unit 16B-1 – Final Plat.

Mr. Palmer Panton, Land Development Manager for Volusia County stated the final plat had been reviewed and approved by the Development Review Committee. Mayor Rosamonda entertained a motion to adopt the Final Plat Development Order for DeBary Plantation Unit 16B-1, Case number 02-SFPO-0009, pursuant to the requirements of the letter from Palmer Panton, dated October 18, 2001. Motion to adopt was made by Council Member Long. Council Member Allen seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

3. Saxon Woods – Unit II-B- Final Plat.

Mayor Rosamonda entertained a motion to adopt the Final Plat Development Order for Saxon Woods, Unit II-B, Case number 01-SFPO-0468, pursuant to the recommendations of Palmer Panton and Staff requirements. . Motion to adopt was made by Council Member Long. Council Member Allen seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

4. Appeal of Subdivision Non-Exemption Determination – Michael Boland; President Camry Homes.

Mr. Palmer Panton, Land Development Manager for Volusia County, reviewed the request to combine vested and non-vested lots to meet Zoning requirements. It was requested that the appeal be denied. The County disputes two lots as not meeting the criteria for vested lots. A lot is vested when there is an open passable road in place prior to 1976. A vested lot does not require a paved roadway.

Mr. Kirk Bauer, Attorney, 223 S. Woodland Blvd., DeLand, presented a County Property Card for St. John's River Estates, Phase I, showing that on August 22, 1978, the County record showed that building permits could be issued. Mr. Michael Boland, President of Camry Homes, stated that he had reviewed the Property Card for the two disputed lots, prior to purchasing the property in good faith. He reported an estimated loss of \$160,000.00, if the appeal were denied. Discussion was held regarding County Standards and existence of required roads. It was noted that the appeal had been revised, as a portion of the lots included met current Ordinance requirements. Aerial photos provided by the County showed no existing road in 1976. Mr. Boland agreed to commit to the development of a deed-restricted community, to include 20-25 acres of common non-buildable green area, with dirt road, diverted from wetlands and ultimately deeded to a Homeowners Association. It was agreed by the Members of the City Council and Mr. Boland that he prepare a development agreement to present to the City Council. Council Member Allen moved to table the matter until December 5, 2001. Vice Mayor France seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

5. Discussion of Timetable for Meetings for Zoning and Comprehensive Plan Conflicts.

City Manager Diamond reviewed the schedule. The timetable includes January 2002 through March of 2003.

**IX. Old Business**

1. Bid Award for S. Hwy 17-92 Medians.

City Manager Diamond reviewed three bids received for median plantings Recommendation was to award the bid to Penn Landscaping Design for \$56,054.00. Council Member Long moved to accept. Council Member Allen seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

2. Approval of Lease for Property Located at 94 S. Highway 17-92 for Sheriff's Substation.

City Manager Diamond provided a revised memo with bid amounts and changes including an additional counter-offer to a previously rejected offer. Mayor Rosamonda entertained a motion to allow City Manager Diamond to enter into a lease agreement for five years, based upon the terms as set forth in the letter of November 6, 2001 from Duncan Rabey, to waive the formal bid process and to authorize \$16,000.00 for build-out for the Medical Office Building at 80 South 17-92, DeBary, Florida. Council Member Long moved to accept the motion. Council Member

Coleman seconded the motion. Discussion was held regarding the terms of the lease and the lack of a lease purchase option. Additional discussion reviewed the cost of the build-out and that opportunity was not given to the competing property owners to counter-offer. Future landlord relations were debated. Terms of possible counter offers were debated. Council Member Long and Council Member Coleman withdrew the motion and second. Mayor Rosamonda entertained a motion to authorize City Attorney Ardaman to enter into a lease agreement, based upon terms included in the documentation, with the owners of the Red and White Deli building, 94 South Highway 17-92, to waive the bid process and to authorize the expenditure of \$46,610.00 to Klich Construction for build-out. Council Member Long motioned to approve. Vice Mayor France seconded the motion. The motion carried 4-1, with Council Member Coleman dissenting.

3. Discussion of City Manager's Performance Evaluations and Employment Agreement.

Mayor Rosamonda expressed appreciation for the efforts of City Manager Diamond and stated that there was a consensus by the City Council that their evaluations reflected their appreciation. City Manager Diamond thanked the Council. After discussion, Mayor Rosamonda entertained a motion to amend Section 15-B of the City Manager Contract as follows: "The Employee shall receive 1 vacation day and 1 day of sick leave per each month of employment" to be eliminated and to add the words." The Employee shall receive the same personal leave as exempt Employees as stated in DeBary's Personnel Manual." Council Member Allen moved to accept the revision. Vice Mayor France seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

**X. New Business**

1. Discussion of Charitable Contributions Policy – Council Member Long.

City Manager Diamond had prepared examples from surrounding cities for charitable contributions. It was discussed that policy be prepared for the next Council Meeting with maximum contribution amounts and minimum application criteria and funds included in the yearly budget. A difficulty in determining the scope of public benefit, when allowing contributions, was discussed. It was debated whether precedents had already been set by past contributions and if in-kind contributions should also be eliminated.

Sandra Wilson, 37 Keeble Ave., DeBary discussed the future benefit of the Youth Celebration of the Arts as part of a Countywide event. She stated her view that the funds being requested were entitled to charitable organizations as contributions by taxpayers and took exception to a broad-based denial of contributions.

It was discussed that a previous policy was created with a budgeted amount by a grant application process. Vice Mayor France motioned that the City not award any monetary contributions to charitable organizations nor provide any services that have any direct cost to the City of DeBary. Council Member Allen seconded the motion. There was discussion of in-kind services. It was discussed that past policy allowed fees to be waived for co-sponsored,

community wide events providing recreational culture. The motion passed 4-1 with Mayor Rosamonda dissenting.

2. Resolution No. 01-25 – Creating a 10-Year Anniversary Celebration Committee – Mayor Rosamonda.

Mayor Rosamonda offered a recommendation to develop a Committee to obtain sponsorships for a 2 to 3 day event ending on December 31, 2003. The committee would investigate the costs and structure of similar events in other communities and organize the event. Council Member Long moved to adopt Resolution No. 01-25. Council Member Allen seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

**XI. For the Good of the Order (Routine Recurring Business)**

1. Board/Committee Reports

2. Board/Committee Appointments

A.	Board:	Teens Advisory Committee	Rosamonda - 2
	Term Expires:	October 3, 2003	France - 2
	Incumbent:	None	Coleman - 1
	Length of Term:	Two (2) Years	At – Large – 1
	Appointments:	Jessica Earndahl (Teen)	G. Coleman
		Grace Abbot (Teen)	C. Rosamonda
		Marsha Carson (Teen)	G. France
		Ben Bove (Teen)	G. France

Vice Mayor France moved to accept the appointments. Council Member Allen seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

B.	Board:	Senior Advisory Committee	Rosamonda - 2
	Term Expires:	October 3, 2003	France - 2
	Incumbent:	None	Allen - 1
	Length of Term:	Two (2) Years	Coleman - 2
			At – Large – 1
	Appointments:	Linda Kane	G. Coleman
		Billie DeGarmo	W. Long

Council Member Long moved to accept the appointments. Council Member Coleman seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

C.	Board:	Public Safety Advisory Committee	Long
	Term Expires:	November 7, 2003	

Incumbent: None  
Length of Term: Two (2) Years

Appointments: None

3. Member Reports/Communications

A. Mayor and Council Members

Council Member Coleman expressed his desire to support position of Deltona Mayor Masiarczyk in regard to the Volusia Water Alliance. He reported that there are sidewalks being installed in Glen Abbey, Summerhaven and various other residential areas.

Council Member Allen reported several complaints of Code Enforcement violations. Currently, residents need to report violations and it was suggested that if the City of DeBary amended the contract with the County, an Enforcement Official could canvass the City for violations. City Manager Diamond will investigate the possibility of a part-time position. It was suggested that funds be authorized for that service. Council Member Allen inquired as to the status of the acquisition of Florida Power property for a soccer field. It was estimated as a 1 to 1 ½ year time frame. It was discussed that property might be purchased or donated. Keller Park was discussed in that it would relieve Little League field overcrowding if lighting was to be installed. Available funding was reviewed and the possibility of adding acreage to the current Florida Power property.

- B. City Attorney
- C. City Manager

**XII. Adjournment.** Meeting was adjourned at 1:35 A.M.

**Approved December 5, 2001  
City of DeBary  
City Council**

---

Carmen Rosamonda, Mayor

**Attest:**

---

Maryann Yaskanich, City Clerk